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Glossary

**Accessioning**: to record as acquired; museums and other repositories accession artifacts into their collection system, which includes assigning an accession number.

**Acryloid B-72**: a poly-methyl methacrylate dissolved in a solvent for use as an adhesive to repair/stabilize artifacts, and as a background or overcoat for labels on artifacts.

**Azimuth**: the horizontal angular distance from a fixed reference direction to another position. Surface artifact positions are often expressed in terms of distance and direction (azimuth, in degrees 1°– 360°) from a mapping station/datum, and such data as recorded on artifact bags must be preserved in the laboratory.

**Catalog**: a systematized list in numerical or alphabetical order, often with descriptions of the listed items. Cataloguing in a lab involves organizing field & lab analysis information, and transferring this to a log sheet/data base. A catalog number is assigned to each collected item, which may or may not match the accession number (see above).

**Comparative study**: analyses of artifact/feature use, location, technology, morphology, etc. that involve study of similarities and differences in spatial (site, region) or cultural terms.

**Complex repair**: putting together many fragments of a single artifact, such as reconstructing a complete ceramic vessel.

**Conservation**: work on fragile and/or broken artifacts and features performed by trained “Conservators” who evaluate the context, uniqueness, condition and information potential of the item before taking any action.

**Curation**: the care and management of collections, as in a museum; federal standards for curation are detailed in 36 CFR Part 79.

**Debitage**: waste by-products (“flakes”) resulting from stone tool manufacture, one of the most abundant artifact classes handled in the typical lab.

**Diagnostic**: used in a diagnosis, such as an artifact style (e.g., a type of projectile point) indicative of a time period or an archaeological culture.
Dry cleaning: lab procedure for artifacts too fragile to withstand washing/scrubbing; such items are cleaned without the use of a liquid such as water or soap.

Ethnographic analogy: drawing conclusions about the ancient use or patterning of artifacts and/or features based on “ethnographies” or studies of living cultures.

Field specimen: any artifact or sample collected from an archaeological site; in many systems, each collected item is assigned an F.S. number—one version of a field catalog.

Friable: describes a material that is brittle; readily crumbled.

Fugitive color: a non-permanent pigment applied to an artifact such as pottery painted red after firing; must be carefully handled in the laboratory.

Function: the original and/or last use of an artifact; may not fit one’s expectations given the morphology of an item. For example, an item that looks like (morphology) a projectile point may have been used as a knife. In addition to morphological data, attempts to determine function focus on an artifact’s context in the field, residue IDs, use wear analysis, and breakage patterns.

In situ: literally, in place; archaeologists’ way of describing the exact place of discovery of an artifact in the field, as opposed to those items recovered displaced during screening or sieving of deposits.

Inventory: a thorough accounting of all collections at the end of a field season, matching field catalog information to artifact bag/box labels; the inventory may occur prior to transport to the lab, and/or as part of the receiving stage at the lab.

Lab Director: supervisor of all phases of lab work for a project; may or may not be the same individual who supervised field work. Minimum qualifications are a B.A. degree in Anthropology/Archaeology or a related field and 10+ months of experience.

Lithics: artifacts of flaked, ground/pecked or carved stone commonly part of collected assemblages in Colorado.

Microfoam: conservation-grade, non-acid plastic foam sheeting commonly used for packaging and padding of artifacts being prepared for storage or display. May deteriorate after 12–15 years.
**Morphology**: the study of form and structure; morphological analyses focus on details of the size, shape, manufacturing patterns, decoration, etc. of artifacts and features.

**Non-ionic surfactant**: cleansers with non-clotting chemical property, preferable for washing artifacts when water alone is insufficient.

**Permeable**: a material capable of being penetrated, such as bone artifacts soaking up water; only non-permeable artifacts should be washed with water in a lab.

**Provenience**: the position of an archaeological find in time and space, recorded three-dimensionally; provenience data must be retained for each item throughout a project’s lab phase.

**Receiving**: initial step in the lab when materials arrive from the field; involves making an inventory of incoming collections, matched against the field catalog.

**Repository**: a public facility where materials are stored for future study, such as a museum.

**Research design**: a planning document prepared before fieldwork that provides a project focus through all stages of work including the laboratory phase.

**Restoration**: process of reconstructing a broken artifact by working both with genuine fragments and by adding filler to missing areas to complete its original form.

**Routing**: specific path along which an item is sent throughout the lab phase; routing decisions are based on such circumstances as whether or not the item can be washed, its conservation requirements, if an outside consultant is needed for analysis, etc.

**Simple repair**: rejoining broken artifacts in cases where only two or a few fragments are involved, i.e., in cases when the expertise of a conservator may not be needed.

**Sorting**: separation of collections into sub-groups. A primary rough sort occurs in receiving when items are grouped by material and sent to the appropriate processing/treatment station; a second sort occurs in cleaning when items needing special handling are separated from washable artifacts; a third sorting involves analysis when materials to be sent to consultants are segregated from those to be examined in-house, etc.
**Synthesis**: the combining of separate ideas or information to form a coherent whole, such as synthesizing field and lab analysis data for a final project report.

**Tool class**: an artifact category within a single material based primarily on function such as knife, projectile point, scraper, awl, bowl, etc.

**Tool type**: an artifact category within a single class based primarily on style such as corner-tanged knife, two-hand mano, spurred end scraper, etc.