COLORADO CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEY Management Data Form

| 1. Res | 1. Resource Number: 5CF.31942. Temporary Resource Number: | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------|-------------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3. Atta | 3. Attachments (check as many as apply) 4. Official determination (OAHP use only) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Х | Prehistoric Archaeological Component | | Determined Eligible NR\SR | | | | | | | | |
| | Historic Archaeological Component | | Determined Not Eligible NR\SR | | | | | | | | |
| | Historic Architectural Component | | Nominated | | | | | | | | |
| | Linear Component | | Need Data NR\SR | | | | | | | | |
| Х | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Х | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Х | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other, specify: Does not support overall linear eligibility NR\SR | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I. IDENTIFICATION | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Resource Name: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Pro | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Gov | vernment Involvement: Federal – Bureau of Land M | Manageme | nt – Royal | Gorge Fi | ield Offi | ce | | | | | |
| 8. Site | e Categories: Check as many as apply | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prehisto | pric: X archaeological site pale | eontologic | al site |] | ln existii | ng National Register District | | | | | |
| Nationa | al Register District name: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Historio | Historic: archaeology site building(s) structure(s) object(s) In existing National Register District | | | | | | | | | | |
| National Register District name: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. O | wner(s)'s Name and Address: Bureau of Land Ma | nagement, | Royal Go | rge Field | Office | | | | | | |
| 10. B | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Si | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area was calculated by: ArcGIS 10.5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| II. L | OCATION | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. L | egal Location | | | | | | | | | | |
| PM | Site Locations Redacted per CRS 24-72-203(| 1), CRS 24 | -80-405(2 |), and the | Archae | ological Resource Protection Act | | | | | |
| if | section is irregular, explain alignment method: | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13. USGS Quad: Maysville 7.5'14. County: Chaffee | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15. U | TM Coordinates: NAD83 13N | | | | | | | | | | |
| A. | Site Locations Redacted per CRS 24-72 Protection | | | | | Archaeological Resource | | | | | |
| В. | | |) 01 1979 (| as amen | ueu) | | | | | | |
| C. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16. U | TM Source: Corrected/GPS/rectified survey (< | 5m error) | | | | | | | | | |
| 17. Si | 17. Site Elevation (feet): 8200 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 18. Address:Lot:Block:Addition: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Site Lo | ocations Redacted per CRS 24-72-203(1), CRS 24 | | | Archaeolo | ogical Re | esource Protection Act (ARPA) of | | | | | |
| | 19 | 79 (as ame | nueu) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| III. N | III. NATURAL ENVIRONMENT/SITE CONDITION | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Vegetation in the near area is consistent with a Rocky Mountain Basin/Montane Sagebrush Steppe and Ponderosa Pine | | | | | | | | | | |

Woodland. Local geology consists primarily of Tertiary-aged Dry Union Formation sandstone and siltstones as well as pre-Bull

| 21. Soil depth (cm) and description: Surface exposed lying atop a gravely landscape 22. Condition a. Architectural/Structural b. Archaeological/Paleontological Excellent Undisturbed Good Light disturbance Fair Moderate disturbance Deteriorated X Ruins Total disturbance 23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters. 24. Vandalism: Yes X Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely. IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT 25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard 26. Applicable National Register Criteria: | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| a. Architectural/Structural b. Archaeological/Paleontological Excellent Undisturbed Good Light disturbance Fair Moderate disturbance Deteriorated X Ruins Total disturbance 23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters. 24. Vandalism: Yes X No Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely. IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT 25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard | | | | | | | | | |
| Excellent Undisturbed Good Light disturbance Fair Moderate disturbance Deteriorated X Ruins Total disturbance 23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters. 24. Vandalism: Yes X No Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely. IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT 25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard | | | | | | | | | |
| Good Light disturbance Fair Moderate disturbance Deteriorated X Ruins Total disturbance 23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters. 24. Vandalism: Yes X No Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely. IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT 25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard | | | | | | | | | |
| Fair Moderate disturbance Deteriorated X Heavy disturbance Ruins Total disturbance 23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters. 24. Vandalism: Yes X No Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely. IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT 25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard | | | | | | | | | |
| Deteriorated X Heavy disturbance Ruins Total disturbance 23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters. 24. Vandalism: Yes X No Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely. IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT 25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard | | | | | | | | | |
| Ruins Total disturbance 23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters. 24. Vandalism: Yes X No Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely. IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT 25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard | | | | | | | | | |
| 23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters. 24. Vandalism: Yes X No Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely. IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT 25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard | | | | | | | | | |
| scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters. 24. Vandalism: Yes X No Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely. IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT 25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard | | | | | | | | | |
| 24. Vandalism: Yes X No Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely. IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT 25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard | | | | | | | | | |
| Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely. IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT 25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard | | | | | | | | | |
| IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT 25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard | | | | | | | | | |
| 25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 26. Applicable National Register Criteria: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history | | | | | | | | | |
| B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past | | | | | | | | | |
| C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a | | | | | | | | | |
| master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction | | | | | | | | | |
| D. Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in history or prehistory | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| X Does not meet any of the National Register criteria Owalifies under exceptions A through G. List exception; | | | | | | | | | |
| Qualifies under exceptions A through G. List exception: 27. Applicable State Register Criteria: | | | | | | | | | |
| A. Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to history | | | | | | | | | |
| B. Property is connected with persons significant in history | | | | | | | | | |
| C. Property has distinctive characteristics of a type, period, method of construction or artisan | | | | | | | | | |
| D. Property is of geographic importance | | | | | | | | | |
| E. Property contains the possibility of important discoveries related to prehistory or history | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 28. Area(s) of significance: Not significant | | | | | | | | | |
| 29. Period(s) of significance: Not significant | | | | | | | | | |
| 30. Level of significance: National State Local 21. Statement of significance: The site is not according to the state of the site is not according to the state of the site of the site is not according to the state of the site of th | | | | | | | | | |
| 31. Statement of significance: The site is not associated with any important events or people, has no distinctive characteristics is not of geographic importance, and does not appear to possess potential to yield important information. The lack of buried | | | | | | | | | |
| materials suggests that surface inventory has exhausted the sites data potential and no further work is necessary. | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Statement of historic integrity related to significance. Not significant | | | | | | | | | |
| 33. National Register Eligibility Field Assessment: Eligible X Not eligible Need data | | | | | | | | | |
| Linear Segment Evaluation (if applicable): Supporting Non-Supporting | | | | | | | | | |
| 34. Status in an Existing National Register District: Contributing Non-Contributing | | | | | | | | | |
| 35. State Register Eligibility Field Assessment: Eligible X Not eligible Need data | | | | | | | | | |
| 36. Status in an Existing State Register District: Contributing Non-Contributing | | | | | | | | | |
| 37. National/State Register District Potential: Yes X No Describe: | | | | | | | | | |
| 38. Cultural Landscape Potential Yes X No Describe: | | | | | | | | | |
| 39. If Yes to either 37 or 38, is this site: Contributing Non-Contributing | | | | | | | | | |
| Explain: | | | | | | | | | |

| V. | V. MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE DATA | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--|-------|------------|---------|--------------|--|--|----|--|----|--|--|
| 40. | Threats to Resource: Erosion and recreation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 41. | Existing Protection: | isting Protection: None | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 42. | Local landmark designa | al landmark designation: 43. Easement: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44. | . Recorder's Management Recommendations: No further work is necessary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VI. | VI. DOCUMENTATION | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45. | Previous Actions Accomplished at the site: Tested Partial excavation Complete excavation | | | | | | | | | | on | | |
| | None | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 46. | 6. Known collections/reports/interviews and other references (list): None | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VI.I | VI.DOCUMENTATION (CONTINUED) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47. | 47. Primary Location of Additional Data: BLM – RGFO | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48. | 48. State or Federal Permit Number: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 49. | 9. Collection: Artifact collection authorized? X Yes No Were artifacts collected? Yes X No | | | | | | | | No | | | | |
| Ar | tifact Repository: NA | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50. | Photograph Numbers: (4 |) 5CF.3194.01_Biface | _Pho | to_1 - 5CH | F.3194_ | Site_Setting | | | | | | | |
| | Digital files at: BLM – RGFO | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51. | 51. Report Title: Mount Shavano Vegetation Treatment | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52. | . Recorder(s): Amie Andrews, Erica Hayes, Michael Troyer Date: 5/13/2019 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 53. | Recorder Affiliation: BL | M-Royal Gorge Field | Offic | ce | | | | | | | | | |
| | Phone Number/Email: (719) 269-8587 / mtroyer@ blm.gov | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | NOTE : Please attach a site map, a photocopy of the USGS 1:24000 map indicating resource location, and photographs. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Colorado Historical Society - Office of Archaeology & Historic Preservation 1560 Broadway, Suite 400 Denver, CO 80202 303-866-3395

COLORADO CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEY Prehistoric Archaeological Component Form

| 1. Res | 1. Resource Number: 5CF.3194 2. Temporary Resource Number: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|----------|----------|-----------|--------------------|--------|------------|------|------------|
| 3. Site | 3. Site Type: Open lithic scatter | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. General Component Description: The site consists of a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters. 5. Non-Architectural Prehistoric Features (note dimensions in centimeters or meters) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | (note din | nensions in o | centime | 1 | , | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Dimensions | | |
| None | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Architectural Prehistoric Features (note dimensions in centimeters or meters) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Reference | Descr | ription | | Const | | | | struction Material | | | | Dimensions |
| | None | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Arti | fact classes | (flake, 1 | uniface, mano, so | craper, et | c.) | | | | | | | | |
| Descri | ption | | | | | Mate | rial | | | | | Quar | ntity |
| | | | interior 1-2 cm | | | | Red, pi | nk, and | orange | chert | | | 9 |
| | Biface 3.0 | x 1.5 x | 0.3 cm; broken t | ip and sic | de | | | Red qua | artzite | | | | 1 |
| | Unifa | cial scra | aper 2.0 x 1.3x 0 | .6 cm | | | ŀ | Red/pink | c chert | | | | 1 |
| The at | oove artifact | t quanti | ities reflect (che | ck one) | | | - | | | | | | |
| Х | X total quantity of artifacts observed at the site only those artifacts that were collected | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | extrapolate | d quant | ities based on a s | sample | | | other, | specify | : | | | | |
| 8. Chr | onology (Lis | st all pro | ehistoric compor | ents pres | sent. Attach | continu | ation s | heet if n | ecessar | y) | | | |
| Cu | ıltural Affili | iation: 1 | Prehistoric unkno | own | | | | | | Γ | ate: Un | know | vn |
| Da | ating Criteria | : Lack o | of diagnostic arti | facts | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Depth of Cultural Deposits : The site is surface exposed. Local geomorphology largely precludes meaningful deposition. The geology is largely composed of alluvial gravels overlain by thin deposits of sand. Soil formation is non-existent. The area broadly is very erosive and actively deflating. The wide distribution of artifacts and lack of stained sediment or any features (hearths or artifact concentrations) further suggests the entire plateau has been heavily impacted by erosion. The area is dissected by intermittent drainages that suggest that flash flooding and sheeting is common. Trowel probing across the site did not reveal intact sediments needed for the preservation of subsurface components. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ba | ased on: | Geom | orphology and tr | owel test | ing and pin | flag de | pth test | ing. | | | | | |
| 10. Ac | tivities infer | red fro | m the remains: | Lithic to | ol manufacti | ure, use | e (hunti | ng), reju | ivenatio | n, and | l discard | 1. | - |
| 11. Is (| this site likel | ly to yie | eld information | importa | nt in prehis | tory? | | | Yes | Х | No | | Unknown |
| If | yes or unkno | wn, des | scribe below. Id | entify res | earch domai | ins and | suppor | ting dat | a. | | | | |
| Potent | ial Within | | | Describ | e | | | | | | | | |
| a. Subs | surface depos | sits with | nin a feature | None | | | | | | | | | |
| b. Subs | b. Subsurface deposits outside a feature None | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| c. Mid | den | | | None | | | | | | | | | |
| d. Othe | er | | | None | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Re | 12. Recorder(s): Michael Troyer Date: 5/13/19 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Colorado Historical Society - Archaeology & Historic Preservation 1560 Broadway, Suite 400, Denver, CO 80202 303-866-3395

Photo Log

Site 5CF.3194

| Photo Number | Subject | Direction |
|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Figure 1 | Red quartzite biface | Close-up |
| Figure 2 | Red quartzite biface | Close-up |
| Figure 3 | Orange/red chert Unifacial scraper | Close-up |
| Figure 4 | Site overview | NW |



Figure 1: 5CF.3194.01 Biface Photo 1




Figure 2: 5CF.3194.01 Biface Photo 2




Figure 3: 5CF.3194.02 Scraper Photo 1



Figure 4: 5CF.3194 Site Setting





5\1\2019

4269800