## COLORADO CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEY Management Data Form

1. Res	1. Resource Number: 5CF.31942. Temporary Resource Number:										
3. Atta	3. Attachments (check as many as apply)       4. Official determination (OAHP use only)										
Х	Prehistoric Archaeological Component		Determined Eligible NR\SR								
	Historic Archaeological Component		Determined Not Eligible NR\SR								
	Historic Architectural Component		Nominated								
	Linear Component		Need Data NR\SR								
Х											
Х											
Х											
Other, specify: Does not support overall linear eligibility NR\SR											
I. IDENTIFICATION											
5. Resource Name:											
6. Pro											
7. Gov	vernment Involvement: Federal – Bureau of Land M	Manageme	nt – Royal	Gorge Fi	ield Offi	ce					
8. Site	e Categories: Check as many as apply										
Prehisto	pric: X archaeological site pale	eontologic	al site	]	ln existii	ng National Register District					
Nationa	al Register District name:										
Historio	Historic:     archaeology site     building(s)     structure(s)     object(s)     In existing National Register District										
National Register District name:											
9. O	wner(s)'s Name and Address: Bureau of Land Ma	nagement,	Royal Go	rge Field	Office						
10. B											
11. Si											
Area was calculated by: ArcGIS 10.5											
II. L	OCATION										
12. L	egal Location										
PM	Site Locations Redacted per CRS 24-72-203(	1), CRS 24	-80-405(2	), and the	Archae	ological Resource Protection Act					
if	section is irregular, explain alignment method:										
13. USGS Quad: Maysville 7.5'14. County: Chaffee											
15. U	TM Coordinates: NAD83 13N										
A.	Site Locations Redacted per CRS 24-72 Protection					Archaeological Resource					
В.			) 01 1979 (	as amen	ueu)						
C.											
D.											
16. U	TM Source: Corrected/GPS/rectified survey (<	5m error)									
17. Si	17. Site Elevation (feet): 8200										
	18. Address:Lot:Block:Addition:										
Site Lo	ocations Redacted per CRS 24-72-203(1), CRS 24			Archaeolo	ogical Re	esource Protection Act (ARPA) of					
	19	79 (as ame	nueu)								
III. N	III. NATURAL ENVIRONMENT/SITE CONDITION										
	Vegetation in the near area is consistent with a Rocky Mountain Basin/Montane Sagebrush Steppe and Ponderosa Pine										

Woodland. Local geology consists primarily of Tertiary-aged Dry Union Formation sandstone and siltstones as well as pre-Bull

21. Soil depth (cm) and description: Surface exposed lying atop a gravely landscape         22. Condition         a. Architectural/Structural       b. Archaeological/Paleontological         Excellent       Undisturbed         Good       Light disturbance         Fair       Moderate disturbance         Deteriorated       X         Ruins       Total disturbance         23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters.         24. Vandalism:       Yes       X         Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely.         IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT         25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard         26. Applicable National Register Criteria:									
a. Architectural/Structural       b. Archaeological/Paleontological         Excellent       Undisturbed         Good       Light disturbance         Fair       Moderate disturbance         Deteriorated       X         Ruins       Total disturbance         23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters.         24. Vandalism:       Yes       X       No         Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely.       IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT         25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard									
Excellent       Undisturbed         Good       Light disturbance         Fair       Moderate disturbance         Deteriorated       X         Ruins       Total disturbance         23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters.         24. Vandalism:       Yes       X       No         Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely.         IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT         25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard									
Good       Light disturbance         Fair       Moderate disturbance         Deteriorated       X         Ruins       Total disturbance         23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters.         24. Vandalism:       Yes       X       No         Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely.         IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT         25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard									
Fair       Moderate disturbance         Deteriorated       X       Heavy disturbance         Ruins       Total disturbance         23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters.         24. Vandalism:       Yes       X       No         Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely.         IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT         25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard									
Deteriorated       X       Heavy disturbance         Ruins       Total disturbance         23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters.         24. Vandalism:       Yes       X       No         Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely.       IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT         25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard									
Ruins       Total disturbance         23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters.         24. Vandalism:       Yes       X       No         Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely.         IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT         25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard									
23. Describe condition: The site is a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters.         24. Vandalism:       Yes       X       No         Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely.         IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT         25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard									
scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters.         24.       Vandalism:       Yes       X       No         Describe:       None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely.         IV.       NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT         25.       Context or Theme:       Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard									
24. Vandalism:       Yes       X       No         Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely.         IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT         25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard									
Describe: None apparent though the area is heavily used for recreation and surface collection is likely.         IV. NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT         25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard									
IV.         NATIONAL/STATE REGISTER ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENT           25.         Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard									
25. Context or Theme: Prehistoric tool manufacture, use, rejuvenation, and discard									
26. Applicable National Register Criteria:									
A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history									
B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past									
C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a									
master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction									
D. Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in history or prehistory									
X         Does not meet any of the National Register criteria           Owalifies under exceptions A through G. List exception;									
Qualifies under exceptions A through G. List exception:         27.       Applicable State Register Criteria:									
A. Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to history									
B. Property is connected with persons significant in history									
C. Property has distinctive characteristics of a type, period, method of construction or artisan									
D. Property is of geographic importance									
E. Property contains the possibility of important discoveries related to prehistory or history									
28. Area(s) of significance: Not significant									
29. Period(s) of significance: Not significant									
30.     Level of significance:     National     State     Local       21.     Statement of significance:     The site is not according to the state of the site is not according to the state of the site of the site is not according to the state of the site of th									
31. Statement of significance: The site is not associated with any important events or people, has no distinctive characteristics is not of geographic importance, and does not appear to possess potential to yield important information. The lack of buried									
materials suggests that surface inventory has exhausted the sites data potential and no further work is necessary.									
32. Statement of historic integrity related to significance. Not significant									
33. National Register Eligibility Field Assessment:     Eligible     X     Not eligible     Need data									
Linear Segment Evaluation (if applicable): Supporting Non-Supporting									
34. Status in an Existing National Register District: Contributing Non-Contributing									
35. State Register Eligibility Field Assessment: Eligible X Not eligible Need data									
36. Status in an Existing State Register District: Contributing Non-Contributing									
37. National/State Register District Potential: Yes X No Describe:									
38. Cultural Landscape Potential Yes X No Describe:									
39. If Yes to either 37 or 38, is this site: Contributing Non-Contributing									
Explain:									

V.	V. MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE DATA												
40.	Threats to Resource: Erosion and recreation												
41.	Existing Protection:	isting Protection: None											
42.	Local landmark designa	al landmark designation: 43. Easement:											
44.	. Recorder's Management Recommendations: No further work is necessary												
VI.	VI. DOCUMENTATION												
45.	Previous Actions Accomplished at the site: Tested Partial excavation Complete excavation										on		
	None												
46.	6. Known collections/reports/interviews and other references (list): None												
VI.I	VI.DOCUMENTATION (CONTINUED)												
47.	47. Primary Location of Additional Data: BLM – RGFO												
48.	48. State or Federal Permit Number:												
49.	9. Collection: Artifact collection authorized? X Yes No Were artifacts collected? Yes X No								No				
Ar	tifact Repository: NA												
50.	Photograph Numbers: (4	) 5CF.3194.01_Biface	_Pho	to_1 - 5CH	F.3194_	Site_Setting							
	Digital files at: BLM – RGFO												
51.	51. Report Title: Mount Shavano Vegetation Treatment												
52.	. Recorder(s): Amie Andrews, Erica Hayes, Michael Troyer Date: 5/13/2019												
53.	Recorder Affiliation: BL	M-Royal Gorge Field	Offic	ce									
	Phone Number/Email: (719) 269-8587 / mtroyer@ blm.gov												
	<b>NOTE</b> : Please attach a site map, a photocopy of the USGS 1:24000 map indicating resource location, and photographs.												

Colorado Historical Society - Office of Archaeology & Historic Preservation 1560 Broadway, Suite 400 Denver, CO 80202 303-866-3395

## COLORADO CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEY Prehistoric Archaeological Component Form

1. <b>Res</b>	1. Resource Number:     5CF.3194     2. Temporary Resource Number:												
3. Site	3. Site Type: Open lithic scatter												
<ul> <li>4. General Component Description: The site consists of a widely distributed surface lithic and tool scatter consisting of 11 artifacts (9 flakes, 1 scraper, and one biface) spread across nearly 1.5 acres, yielding a density of approximately 1 artifact every 550 square meters.</li> <li>5. Non-Architectural Prehistoric Features (note dimensions in centimeters or meters)</li> </ul>													
				(note din	nensions in o	centime	1	,					
											Dimensions		
None													
6. Architectural Prehistoric Features (note dimensions in centimeters or meters)													
-	Reference	Descr	ription		Const				struction Material				Dimensions
	None												
7. Arti	fact classes	(flake, 1	uniface, mano, so	craper, et	c.)								
Descri	ption					Mate	rial					Quar	ntity
			interior 1-2 cm				Red, pi	nk, and	orange	chert			9
	Biface 3.0	x 1.5 x	0.3 cm; broken t	ip and sic	de			Red qua	artzite				1
	Unifa	cial scra	aper 2.0 x 1.3x 0	.6 cm			ŀ	Red/pink	c chert				1
The at	oove artifact	t quanti	ities reflect (che	ck one)			-						
Х	X total quantity of artifacts observed at the site only those artifacts that were collected												
	extrapolate	d quant	ities based on a s	sample			other,	specify	:				
8. Chr	onology (Lis	st all pro	ehistoric compor	ents pres	sent. Attach	continu	ation s	heet if n	ecessar	y)			
Cu	ıltural Affili	iation: 1	Prehistoric unkno	own						Γ	ate: Un	know	vn
Da	ating Criteria	: Lack o	of diagnostic arti	facts									
9. <b>Depth of Cultural Deposits</b> : The site is surface exposed. Local geomorphology largely precludes meaningful deposition. The geology is largely composed of alluvial gravels overlain by thin deposits of sand. Soil formation is non-existent. The area broadly is very erosive and actively deflating. The wide distribution of artifacts and lack of stained sediment or any features (hearths or artifact concentrations) further suggests the entire plateau has been heavily impacted by erosion. The area is dissected by intermittent drainages that suggest that flash flooding and sheeting is common. Trowel probing across the site did not reveal intact sediments needed for the preservation of subsurface components.													
Ba	ased on:	Geom	orphology and tr	owel test	ing and pin	flag de	pth test	ing.					
10. Ac	tivities infer	red fro	m the remains:	Lithic to	ol manufacti	ure, use	e (hunti	ng), reju	ivenatio	n, and	l discard	1.	-
11. <b>Is</b> (	this site likel	ly to yie	eld information	importa	nt in prehis	tory?			Yes	Х	No		Unknown
If	yes or unkno	wn, des	scribe below. Id	entify res	earch domai	ins and	suppor	ting dat	a.				
Potent	ial Within			Describ	e								
a. Subs	surface depos	sits with	nin a feature	None									
b. Subs	b. Subsurface deposits outside a feature None												
c. Mid	den			None									
d. Othe	er			None									
12. <b>Re</b>	12. Recorder(s): Michael Troyer     Date: 5/13/19												

Colorado Historical Society - Archaeology & Historic Preservation 1560 Broadway, Suite 400, Denver, CO 80202 303-866-3395

## Photo Log

## Site 5CF.3194

Photo Number	Subject	Direction
Figure 1	Red quartzite biface	Close-up
Figure 2	Red quartzite biface	Close-up
Figure 3	Orange/red chert Unifacial scraper	Close-up
Figure 4	Site overview	NW



Figure 1: 5CF.3194.01 Biface Photo 1




Figure 2: 5CF.3194.01 Biface Photo 2




Figure 3: 5CF.3194.02 Scraper Photo 1



Figure 4: 5CF.3194 Site Setting





5\1\2019

4269800